| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/800,091 | SCHUBA ET AL. | |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | GREG BENGZON | 2144 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>GREG BENGZON</u> . | (3) Anthony Jones, Reg. No. 59521. | | |
| (2) | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 5 June 2008 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic □ Video Conference □ Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ Applic | ant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| | | | |
| /Greg Bengzon/ Examiner, Art Unit 2144 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if | appropriate) |

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/800,091

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon authorization from SPE Follansbee and PE Kang, Examiner Bengzon proposed incorporating Claim 2 into all independent claims in order to move claims closer to allowance. Atty, Jones agreed to proposed claims amendments and indicated authorization to perform Examiner's Amendments to reflect the changes.